







### Indirectly Supervised Natural Language Processing



Wenpeng Yin



Muhao Chen



Ben Zhou



Qiang Ning





Dan Roth

July 9, 2023 **ACL Tutorials** Indirectly Supervised Natural Language Processing



### **Do We Need Supervision?**



- All parameters in NLP networks are initialized via **pretraining**.
- Pretraining methods hide parts of the input and train the model to reconstruct those parts.

Output	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Hidden Layer	$\bigcirc$	0	0	$\bigcirc$	0	0	0	$\bigcirc$	0	$\bigcirc$	0	0	0	0	0
Hidden Layer	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	$\bigcirc$	0	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	$\bigcirc$
Hidden Layer	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	$\bigcirc$	0	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	0
Input	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0

But this is **no longer true**.

All the very large models use huge amounts of **supervision** and **RLHF** (Reinforcement Learning with Human Feedback) data that is more costly than earlier supervision protocols. This **self-supervision** is the key reason for the original excitement with LLMs: the hope that we can get around the need to annotate a lot of data for supervised machine learning.



# What Have We Learned?



We learned that it's possible to generate effective supervision

Without manual annotation

Without training directly for the task at hand

### Not new

All the literature on Context Sensitive Spelling (accept/except; the/that,...) and (ESL) Text Correction is based on this self-supervision paradigm [Golding & Roth ICML'96]

Needs to be generalized



And applied to many machine learning tasks Since "simplistic" self-supervision isn't good enough to support most tasks This **self-supervision** is the key reason for the original excitement with LLMs: the hope that we can get around the need to annotate a lot of data for supervised machine learning.





### Data provides hints

Data exists independent of the task(s) at hand.

These "hints" are often sufficient to infer supervision signals for a range of tasks

### Data Provides Hints



Feb 5 2017 Dozens of passengers heading to Chicago had to undergo additional screenings... It took Hesam Aamyab two tries to make it back to the United States from Iran. He is an Iranian citizen with a US visa who is doing post-doctoral research at UIC. ... "Right now, I am in the USA and I'm very happy," Aamyab said. But now, he can't go back to Iran or anywhere else without risk. Other travelers shared the same worry. Asem Aleisawi was at O'Hare on Sunday to meet his wife who was coming in from Jordan.

Learning/Supervision requires some level of reasoning to infer these weak signals

Images

Difficult to label objects/faces

Easy to learn same/different

- Two objects in the same image are different
- Two consecutive video frames are likely to contain the same objects.

A way to train intermediate representations that make the eventual labeling/prediction easier

Not the only way; but all realistic/scalable ways need to go through indirect supervision





### Data provides hints

Data exists independent of the task(s) at hand.

These "hints" are often sufficient to infer supervision signals for a range of tasks

### Utilizing these hints

Can be substantially less costly than producing explicit annotation More realistic – provides signals for tasks we haven't defined Weak signals can be aggregated to produce higher quality signals

### Examples:

....

Classification Tasks Structured Prediction Tasks Multimodal Tasks Commonsense Creating Oncidental LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES across the day

## **Diverse Supervision Signals**



- Searching for supervision signals could be challenging.
- It is incidental, in the sense that it provides some signals that might be co-related with the target task and may not be useful all the time.



# **Diverse Supervision Signals**



- By itself, this temporal signal may not be sufficient to support learning robust models.
- Along with weak phonetic signals, context, topics, etc. it can be used to get robust models.



# Solving NLP

We need many forms of indirect supervision. We call this "**incidental supervision**" [Roth, AAAI'2017] since, unlike "standard supervision", we don't annotate for it, and we don't assume it is exhaustive; sometimes it's there and we use it.

- Even if we think/hope that generative AI will move us forward
- We still need supervision

Alignment with human expectations

Fine-tuning of models

Verification: is this piece of text supported by this evidence?

Classification into organization-specific taxonomies (e.g., medical) Naming visual events

Text, images, video retrieval

••••

 We will never have enough annotated data to train all the models, for all the tasks we need

We do not learn by "training" on many examples

Direct supervision is not scalable and, often, makes no sense
 Complex tasks annotation is often impossible.

"understanding" Events



When and Where?



Fu et al. ACL'22

#### The language-world mapping problem [BabySRL: Connor, Fisher & Roth, 2012]





# Sources of Incidental Supervision



This document is

Representation-driven:

Label-aware: the basis of zero-shot [Chang et al. AAAI'08]

Knowledge-driven

Enrichment of the text with existing knowledge

Constraints-driven:

Expectation from the output

Alignment-Driven:

comparable text; multimodal

Behavior-driven:

It's end-to-end

Who are the Europeans female tennis players who made the most money in the last 10 years?



- 1. Halep Wozniacki
- 3. Azarenka
- Kvitova 4.

#### 5. Kerber

6.





In Los Angeles that lesson was brought home today when tons of earth cascaded down a hillside, ripping two houses from their foundations. No one was hurt, but firefighters ordered the evacuation of nearby homes and said they'll monitor the shifting ground until March 23<sup>rd</sup>.



We have strong expectations from the output: (1) Transitivity (2) Expertations on "typical" order of events.



# **This Tutorial**

# **Tutorial Outline**



Introduction	20 min.
Dan Roth	
Indirect Supervision from text classification	30 + 5 min.
Wenpeng Yin	
Indirect Supervision from text generation	30 + 5 min.
Muhao Chen	
Break	30 min.
Incidental Supervision from Natural Text	25 + 5 min.
Ben Zhou	
Theoretical Analysis of Incidental Supervision	25 + 5 min.
Qiang Ning	
Indirect Supervision from Multi-modalities	25 + 5 min.
Kai-Wei Chang	
Conclusion and Future Work	15 min.
Dan Roth	

Textual Entailment for 0-shot Text Classification









Event argument extraction

Benefits

Scarce-annotation NLP

- Cross-task transferability
- Maximize the potential of small PLMs

Challenges & Solutions

- Domain discrepancy (solutions by algorithm and data threads)
- Inefficiency in testing (parallel-NLI)
- cannot discover new labels (next chapter...)



**1. Constrained Generation as Indirect Supervision** 





#### 2. QA as Indirect Supervision



#### 3. IR as Indirect Supervision



# **Constrained Decoding as Indirect Supervision**





Allowing supervision signals to be transferred from rich summarization resources (CNN/Daily Mail, XSUM) or pretrained models (BART-CNN, Pegasus).

Lu et al. Summarization as Indirect Supervision for Relation Extraction. EMNLP 2022

### Dense Retrieval as Indirect Supervision for Large-space Decision Making



2 140

NLU tasks may have hundreds to millions of decisions



Learning to retrieve from a decision thesaurus as a general solution

# Natural Text as Supervision



### Natural Texts are structured to contain rich information

How to generalize beyond the simple-minded pre-training done today?

Pre-trained language models (LMs) are a great proxy to use NT "incidentally" However, they are flawed in a few major ways

- Cannot accurately capture local relational information (relation type / numbers)
- Cannot efficiently connect global information (e.g., more than one documents)
- Large LMs lack controllability without direct supervision (which can be hard to integrate)
  Due to reporting biases, these flaws limit LM's reasoning capabilities.

### In this section of our tutorial, we discuss

How local texts can be more efficiently parsed and injected into models How to utilize global information from natural texts How LMs can be used to viewed as a generator of incidental signals from NT

## **Capture Local Information**







### Cross-sentence extraction

went to the park

I write a park review

Based on explicit temporal expressions

Independent of event locations

Produces relative distance between start times

text I went to the park on January 1<sup>st</sup>. I was very hungry after some hiking. Luckily, I purchased a lot of food before I went to the park. I enjoyed the trip and wrote an online review about the trip on the 10<sup>th</sup>.

#### within-sentence

[I purchased bod, I went to the park.]: **before** 

c ross-sentence

[I went to the park, I wrote a review]: **before**, weeks

Event 1 starts before

Event 2

Interval between start times is most likely:

0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	
seconds	minutes	hours	days	

Zhou et al., Temporal Reasoning on Implicit Events from Distant Supervision, NAACL 2021

PtnTime

## The Impact of Incidental Signals on the Concept Class 🔯 🗑 🧕 🛞

Why do incidental signals help learning?

- $c: X \to Y$ , where  $c \in C$
- Learning theory shows that the size of the concept class determines the "easiness" of the learning problem

$$\Box$$
 E.g. the generalization bound  $R(c) \leq \widehat{R}(c) + \sqrt{\frac{\ln|\mathcal{C}| + \ln\frac{2}{\delta}}{2m}}$ 

We will show that the use of incidental signals reduces the size of the concept class, and then will use the relative size of the reduction as a measure for the informativeness of the incidental signals



Original Concept Class



**Reduced Concept Class** 

 $S(\mathcal{C}, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}) = \sqrt{1 - \frac{\ln |\tilde{\mathcal{C}}|}{\ln |\mathcal{C}|}}$ Smaller  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  leads to higher Informativeness *S* 

# Learnability Condition: Overview



To illustrate the learnability condition, we plot the relationship between the classification error of a hypothesis h and the minimum annotation loss (risk) it can have (over choices of transition hypotheses).



Under what conditions are incidental signal sufficient to support learning? Several people walking on a sidewalk in the rain with umbrellas.

Main training objective is to predict missing words.

VisualBERT

The model projects words and image regions into the same vector space and uses multiple Transformer layers to build joint representations.

Several people [MASK] on a [MASK] in the [MASK] with [MASK].



Input consists of an image and a caption with some masked words. Such data is easy to obtain from the internet.

Unsupervised pre-training on vision and language



#### Is it raining outside?

a) Yes, it is snowing.

b) Yes, [person8] and [person10] are outsid

c) No, it looks to be fall.

d) Yes, it is raining heavily.

An example from the VCR dataset

Transfer to answering commonsense question



- Learn to align objects in image and phrases in text
  - Train a teacher model with gold grounding data; produces boxes given image-caption data Distant supervision assumption: objects in the images are likely to be mentioned in captions



Two syringes and a small vial of vaccine.



Teacher GLIP

Trained on gold detection & grounding data



Two syringes and a small vial of vaccine.

### Learning Visual Concepts from Descriptions



- Using LLM as commonsense engine to specify visual concepts
- Enforcing VL models to align objects with rich description



# **Tutorial Outline**



•	Introduction	20 min.
	Dan Roth	
	Indirect Supervision from text classification	30 + 5 min.
	Wenpeng Yin	
	Indirect Supervision from text generation	30 + 5 min.
	Muhao Chen	
	Break	30 min.
	Incidental Supervision from Natural Text	25 + 5 min.
	Ben Zhou	
	Theoretical Analysis of Incidental Supervision	25 + 5 min.
	Qiang Ning	
	Indirect Supervision from Multi-modalities	25 + 5 min.
	Kai-Wei Chang	
	Conclusion and Future Work	15 min.
	Dan Roth	